

## Comparing the Efficacy and Safety of Sufentanil and Dexmedetomidine as Neuraxial Adjuvants in the Context of Cesarean Section Procedures

**Dr. Mohammed M. M. Al-Doulaie**

M.B.Ch.B, C.A.B.A&IC Senior Specialist in Anesthesia &  
Interventional Pain Management, Al-Karama General Hospital, Baghdad, Iraq

**Dr. Aseel Nabeel Ibrahim Altaie**

M. B. Ch. B - C.A.B.A&IC Senior specialist in Anesthesia.  
Alfalluja Teaching Hospital, Alfalluja. Iraq

**Dr. Halah Mohammed Jawad K. Alkhatab**

M.B.Ch.B- C.A.B.A &IC senior Specialist in Anesthesia  
Al-Allamy Hospital For Specialized Surgery

### Article Information

**Received:** Oct 11, 2023

**Accepted:** Nov 22, 2023

**Published:** Dec 30, 2023

### ABSTRACT

There's this technique called neuroaxial anesthesia, and it's typically the go-to choice for caesarean procedures. Now, why is that? Well, primarily because of its solid track record in delivering complete nerve blockage. It acts fast without causing a massive number hitches along the way. And to boost both potency and longevity of numbing effect all while swatting away side effects, doctors often pair it with various anaesthetic helpers—called intrathecal adjuvants if we're getting technical here.

However, as you will hear from this next section, it is crucial to understand that in our study, we examined the medical records of 62 patients who underwent C-sections while under some kind of anesthetic. We carefully examined how twenty-four patients responded to receiving dexmedetomidine [10 µg] and hyperbaric bupivacaine (0.5% 10 mg) by imposing strict exclusion criteria. (group1). That's not all, though. Group 2 consisted of an additional twenty-eight patients who were treated with our regular protocol of hyperbaric bupivacaine (0.5% 10 mg) combined with sufentanil [5 µg]. The scale and detail of our look into this was comprehensive; we eagerly gauged motor and sensory block, measured pain after surgery period, noted down unwanted effects during the first day post-delivery — yeah, even newborn health wasn't left out. Updated Text: "Look, our research clearly showed that the group given sufentanil needed less pain relief. They were significantly better off compared to the dexmedetomidine bunch. You need to pay attention here, because those in group 1 suffered more after surgery! They rated their discomfort using VAS and scored an average of  $(4 \pm 2)$ , while folks in group 2 felt better and only averaged scores around  $(2 \pm 1)$ —a substantial difference with a p-value  $<0.01$ .

But wait, before you jump into conclusions, keep this mind too—there weren't notable differences when it came down to factors like intensity of motor and sensory block or the time it took for motor functions return between these two groups! Oh and yes let's not forget about neonatal Apgar scores — no real contrast there either. However, cases of intense itching and the chilling shakes were experienced exclusively by Group 2 while, fascinatingly enough, those

doped-up on dexmedetomidine reported zero episodes of midnight itches or shivers. So what's our gist here? Sufentanil might be crowned superior when we're chatting about post-operation pain relief but hold up! It does come with a mini ensemble of side-effects. On balance though, adding dexmedetomidine to your regimen induces no intraoperative jitters and jerks – not a single one!

## Introduction

We tend to opt for neuroaxial anesthesia in the case of caesarean delivery - a quick reference demonstrates its popularity. It's not hard to see why; folks tend to favor that dense, predictable block it provides which leads to faster kick-in and fewer side effects compared with other anesthetic types out there. Still, even though it sounds pretty neat all things considered, we've got to remember spinal anesthesia does come with certain potential drawbacks too! In fact, these adverse reactions could be downright challenging for momma and baby alike. We're talking about heart-related complications like unusually low blood pressure or slow pulse rates here! Oh goodness gracious, even shivering comes into the picture followed by feeling sick as a dog and hurling! For example, shivering. It can directly affect the metabolic activity of a mother while her falling blood pressure could compromise the placenta's blood flow, potentially triggering fetal decline (think hypoxia and acidosis)(3). To diminish these unfortunate incidents cropping up, research indicates that cutting down on intrathecal local anesthetics dosage packs some effectiveness(4). It is important to note, too, that lowering the dosage of this local anesthetic may also shorten the time that anesthesia and pain relief last. A range of drugs are required to address this issue. Your objective? Decrease the quantity of local anesthetic needed to achieve the same level of anesthesia and analgesia's duration and quality. Opioids such as morphine, fentanyl, sufentanil, and  $\alpha 2$ -adrenergic agonists, of which clonidine and dexmedetomidine are the most prominent, are well-known examples of this adjuvant class. As of right now, our observations indicate that injecting these drugs directly affects how well intrathecal local anesthetics work, prolonging both anesthesia and analgesia—and that too at very low dosages! These adjuvants are now frequently used precisely in therapeutic settings. (5). It is well known that administering opioids can lead to a variety of unpleasant side effects, which might include such things as itching or nausea/vomiting. Other common effects are hypotension and suppressed respiration - these particular issues appear tinged by the lipophilic nature of any opioid used. In studying different opioids 'n stuff, folks have noticed that sufentanil 5 mcg usually gives the best anesthesia and pain relief results without making those nasty side effects worse [1]. Let's begin with this fact: intrathecally administered  $\alpha 2$  adrenergic agonists do not cause nausea or vomiting episodes; quite the contrary. Indeed, these substances prolong the period of analgesia and may reduce the incidence of shivering after cesarean sections (3,6,7). Dexmedetomidine use? In spinal anesthesia, for example, it can prolong the anesthetic effects from a local source [8]. But exercise caution! Because  $\alpha 2$  adrenergic agonists affect hemodynamic lurches such bradycardia or hypotension, their effects on fetal outcomes depend on dosage levels. (9–11). Let's start by saying that the use of intrathecal additives at safe dosages, well-documented in research studies, had no unwelcome effects on newborns. There is zero or little difference in umbilical cord blood gases as well as Apgar scores for neonates [8,12,13]. Now comes our goal here; it's all about comparing how dexmedetomidine and sufentanil--both administered intrathecally--perform among mothers-to-be having a c-section. It focuses on measuring how much motor block intraoperatively affected them alongside their sensory block levels. When the baby lands on our lap, we'll immediately evaluate its neonatal Apgar score. As day one post-operation rolls around and pain begins to rear its head - monitored using that VAS scale of ours - we will be scouting for any unwanted side-effects. Nausea, vomiting, an annoying itch or tremor are just a few examples. And let's not forget about motor recovery time and when that first bowel movement decides to make an appearance.

## Materials and Methods:

Extensive research was carried out at Al-Karama General Hospital, nestled deep within Baghdad, Iraq. That's not all though; we expanded our study base including Alfalluja Teaching Hospital located in the bustling city of Alfalluja. We treated each patient with respect and maintained confidentiality to protect their privacy by anonymizing data before analysis began in earnest. Mindfully selecting suitable participants for our research exertion involved narrowing down patients who've undergone a caesarean delivery (CS) administered right at one of our hospitals as per required Data requisites. In terms of time frame? Well, those procedures ranged from June 2019 up until December 2020. The participants we selected for the study had some common attributes. Each one was a full-term pregnant woman aged between 18 and 45 years, with an ASA physical status of I-II, and scheduled for cesarean delivery under spinal anesthesia. On the flip side, those failing to match our essential prerequisites got omitted from this endeavor. We didn't include patients dealing with preeclampsia or hypersensitivity issues, also patients presenting multiple gestation or contraindications to spinal block. Not forgetting individuals categorized as III-IV in terms of ASA status nor women whose pregnancy term fell outside the gamut ranging from 36 through week 40. This criteria implementation not only refined our audience selection but ensured involvement of solely those highly contextual.

### The study population

The study included 52 patients after carefully applying exclusion criteria to ensure only those who met necessary requirements were considered. These patients had previously undergone CS, totaling 62.

Starting off with the initial cluster of 62 expectant mothers, sadly we had to let go of four of 'em. Reason being? They were suffering from preeclampsia - a condition that might muddle up our study results. Adding on, another set of four ladies got ruled out because their babies hadn't hit the benchmark 36-week pregnancy term yet, hence they didn't qualify for inclusion. Now here comes one more twist! Two additional patients were shown the door 'cause their bellies housed multiple buns in the oven which could complicate factor addition into our research model and alter its accuracy big time! By flexibly adapting these boundary lines around who makes it or who doesn't make it based on varied parameters, we managed to withhold just those participants whose specific conditions put them smack within those pre-structured eligibility criteria limits. The care taken in choosing who's included is vital for the validity and durability of conclusions drawn from a study. As such, you'll find that the 52 patients brought into this study are hand-picked nuggets out of an expansive patient horde who had CS during our time window. Thus, they stand as faithful little representatives for our research intentions. Within the hustle and bustle of any operating room scene we peeked at, medics could be seen fitting venous access points onto their subjects - wielding gauges sized anywhere from 18 to 16. Getting ready for surgery, we made use of a medley of meds. Call it prepping the patient with Pantoprazole — 40 milligrams shot up intravenously. And Ondansetron got in on that action, too, hitting the system at 8 milligrams via an IV drip. Plus, everything was boosted by antibiotic prophylaxis.

Antibiotic you ask? Yep! This time think Cefazolin flooding in, either as a one or two-gram infusion straight to the veins. If they were allergic though (you never can be too careful), Clindamycin came out swinging with a six hundred mg rush down through their plumbing.

Oh and this little cocktail party occurred about half an hour before contract with cold steel met skin. The medical team had a duty. During the process, they were intent on ensuring continuous supervision of the patient's vital signs - it was our primary prerogative! They closely observed an array of parameters. Let me elaborate; that included ECG, SpO<sub>2</sub>, TC and good old blood pressure being monitored at regular intervals. The frequency? Well every 2.5 minutes or so right until the point where the baby is delivered from its mother's womb, and then we changed gears

to every 5 minute checks as required. Attention was bestowed upon initiating preloading processes too – this involved administering around about half-litre (500 mL) worth of crystalloids intravenously . As if wrapping things up neatly under a concluded bow, these meticulous measures by our health magicians are what preserved patient's welfare through sharing knowledgeable interactions amidst surgical intervention. Let's break down this complex medical procedure. More or less, it began with a steady intraoperative fluid management step involving roughly 15-20 mL/kg/hour of IV crystalloids. And then? Spinny anesthesia was deftly administered somewhere between L3-L4 or perhaps the ol' L4-L5 interspace region, all while our patient lay patiently on their left side. Identifying the precise vertebral level required an extra dose of meticulousness—starting right from the sacrum and counting up those laminae in good ol' bottom to top direction. We made sure to tick off each regal backbone with swift strokes of surgical pen. For this method we used, we banked on an absolutely sterile aseptic tactic in the subarachnoid space. It was critical to ensure that crystal clear Cephalo-Spinal Fluid (CSF) showed up in our slender 27 Gauge spinal needle - and mind you, without letting go of the CSF. On some occasions, patients got treated with Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 0.5%—a dose of around 10mg—and they were also given dexmedetomidine or Sufentanil; typically about 10 µg or 5 µg respectively. As for maintaining correct uterus position until birth? That part's simple - right after getting patients laid down flat on their backs, we'd tuck a Crawford wedge under them so as to lean their uterus slightly left. Imagine a situation where a pregnant mother experiences hypotension, as in her mean arterial pressure drops to less than 60 millimeters of mercury or her systolic arterial pressure falls below 90. It can also occur when there is a decline that's over 20% from the initial readings. You'd find Ephedrine administered at an intravenous dose of approximately 0.1 mg/kg— but only if it was accompanied by bradycardia, say, a heart rate dipping lower than about sixty beats per minute.

On the other hand, what do you think happens if maternal low blood pressure comes with tachycardia? Well then, each dosage of Phenylephrine would be around one hundred micrograms this time — injected IV again. And during fetal extraction , every patient gets Oxytocin—about five international units given slowly intravenously over roughly three minutes. Here's what happened next. After delivery, Oxytocin was carefully administered at a gentle pace of 10 IU/hour infusion - we're talking about 500 mL here in the immediate subsequent hours, say around two to four. Following birth, there was no delay; the Oxytocin infusion kicked off pretty sharpish quickly. There were times when things didn't always go as smoothly – for instance; uterine contractions faltering or PPH (known medically as blood loss exceeding an intimidating 1000 mL). In these circumstances methylergometrine at a punchy dose of 0.4 mg went intramuscularly into action soon enough but after reaching T5 level blockage, 'twas time to start operating. and quick! Once that ordeal ended up . post-operation pain intensity wasn't ignored by any means, oh no it was measured using a ruler-good-ol'-fashioned decimeter line with each end labelled quite clearly 'no pain' on the left edge and well.'the most intense pain imaginable', over there on the right. We asked the patient to pinpoint the spot on the line that best reflected their pain intensity rate. Post-surgery, we gave 'em one gram of paracetamol via IV thrice a day, and had Ketorolac at 30mg set aside too as it's considered a safe dose. As long as there was less than four on their Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) score, we figured they were okay with regard to handling the pain levels. Now our medics looked in every six hours after surgery - during that crucial first 24-hour period - just for evaluating how these folks were faring. Sure, these evaluations covered the following facets: VAS score, existence of adverse effects like nausea or vomiting and shivers. Time to first gas passage and motor recovery time were also inspected. The meds used? They got tailored to each patient's personal response! If they regurgitated their guts out or shook like leaves on a windy day, we treated them with an 8 mg shot of ondansetron. And our data collection phase? We meticulously gathered all essential variables from medical records.



We've got all sorts of data—everything from age and body mass index (BMI), to what the doctors call 'ASA physical status', gestational age, pregnancy history, even health issues during pregnancy. And make no mistake, they're noting down why a caesarean delivery was necessary in the first place too. For good measure? They're clocking how long surgery lasts for each individual, recording any instance of low blood pressure and tallying up blood loss on top of that—intraoperatively. This isn't it yet though— to size up motor block during operations, Bromage scale is put into action while Hollmen scale does its part by assessing sensory block levels—a lot going down at once! Even the newborn isn't off the hook here— we're monitoring their Apgar score—an indicator if you will—of just how healthy these bundle-of-joys are when they come bursting into this world. After surgery, we gauged patients' pain levels using what is known as the Visual Analog Scale or VAS for short. Then, of course, there were a few not-so-pleasant side effects to keep an eye out for - oh you know the usual suspects: nausea, upset stomachs causing vomiting, irritating itchiness which they call pruritus and episodes of shivering. We weren't done just yet! There were other factors we kept tabs on too including when could hear that first rumble in their tummy indicating passage of gas, and how quickly they regained control over their motor skills within those initial 24 hours following surgery.

Looking at our statistical line of inquiry, we divided patients into two factions— Group 1 (G1) and Group 2 (G2). The first bunch got a mix of Bupivacaine 0.5% strength--that's about 10 mg--plus dexmedetomidine, which came to roughly around the tunes of 10 µg. But hold on; we didn't forget the second group! G2 was given Bupivacaine alright--at a similar potency as G1's dose, that is half-percent or say precisely—10 mg again — but combined with Sufentanil instead—you know in quantities something along like a pinch, almost figuring out to be five micrograms there.

Turning to variables now—a part that makes my stats mind tickle—we judged categorical variables by percentages, betting on chi-square test for comparison. On the flip side though—as if it were charting its own course - continuous variables strutted mean values aided with standard deviations for company; We made good use of Student's t-test when unpaired samples dropped-by for discussion— quite convenient you see!

Oh and yes I'd spill this important piece before wrapping—"p<0.05"; Now that transpired how significant our discrimination level logged.

### Results:

Included in the selected cohort, G1 consisted of 24 patients accounting for 46%, and G2 consisted of 28 patients, accounting for 54%. Of significance is the observation of no significant variation between demographic characteristics in the two groups. This consistency was evident when looking at previous pregnancies; both groups had one or more previous pregnancies according to explicit indication in Table (1). Experiencing a weight gain during pregnancy exceeding 14 kg was not observed in any of the patients included in this study. Of noteworthy mention is that a lone pregnant woman from the sufentanil group lodged a complaint regarding gestational diabetes. Additionally, it is vital to emphasize that each patient involved in this investigation underwent a pregnancy that was flawlessly normal. During their gestational period, three patients from the dexmedetomidine group were advised to take a lot of rest, as they were at risk of preterm delivery. Interestingly, not one patient in the study had a previous history of anxiety. It is important to note these findings.

**Table 1. Demographic and surgical characteristics**

	<b>Group 1 (n = 24)</b>	<b>Group 2 (n = 28)</b>	<b>p-Value</b>
Age (years)	32 ± 6	31 ± 5	0.4
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	26 ± 3	27 ± 6	0.4
ASA II physical status	24 (100%)	28 (100%)	1
Gestational age (mean week)	39.9 ± 1	39.2 ± 0.9	0.8

Duration of surgery (mean minute)	44 ± 2	45 ± 3	0.1
Time of onset of sensory block (min)	7.3 ± 0	7.4 ± 0	0.6
Patients with one or more previous pregnancies (number)	11 (46%)	15 (54%)	0.6
Blood loss during surgery (mean milliliters)	689	674	0.9
Incidence of Hypotension (number)	7 (29%)	8 (28%)	0.9

Values are mean, standard deviation (SD), or number of patients (proportion, %). BMI (body mass index); ASA (American Society of anesthesiologists).

The Bromage and Hollmen scales are used to measure the start of sensory and motor block, respectively, and there is no difference between them. There was no discernible difference in the recovery of muscle power duration between the two cohorts. Table 2 provides a full list of the cesarean conveyance indicators. Between the two groups, the surgical time is similar. No significant blood misfortune or surgical problems were noted for any quiet. Between the two bunches, the incidence of hypotension is not statistically significant; the data are shown in Table (1).

**Table 2. Indications for elective cesarean section**

	<b>Group 1 (n = 24)</b>	<b>Group 2 (n = 28)</b>	<b>p-Value</b>
1-Previous cesarean section (number)	8 (33%)	10 (36%)	0.9
2-Maternal pelvic deformity (number)	2 (8%)	2 (7%)	0.9
3-Abnormal fetal presentation (number)	5 (21%)	6 (21%)	1
4-Disproportion in size between fetus and maternal pelvis (number)	2 (8%)	3 (11%)	0.8
5-Maternal request (number)	5 (21%)	4 (14%)	0.5
6-Fetal pathology (number)	2 (8%)	3 (11%)	0.8

Values are given as mean, standard deviation (SD), or number of patients (proportion, %).

### Postoperative Pain

Evaluating pain levels during the first day after surgery using the VAS scale, it was found that G1 experienced higher levels ( $4 \pm 2$ ) in comparison to G2 ( $2 \pm 1$ ) with a p-value of less than 0.01. As for the recovery time for bowel movements, G1 had a faster time (within 12 hours, 100% success rate) than G2 (25% success rate) with a p-value greater than 0.05.

### Neonatal Apgar

Table 3 does not show a difference in Apgar scores between the two groups of neonates at 1 and 5 minutes. Nausea and vomiting occurred more frequently in the G2 group than in the G1 group; however, the difference between the two groups was not statistically significant ( $p > 0.05$ ). In contrast, pruritus was only observed in participants receiving sufentanil, whereas intrathecal dexmedetomidine had no significant effect on itch frequency.

### Discussion

For the purposes of this experiment, thin needles were used to administer spinal anesthesia, and it was shown that bupivacaine in combination with other drugs was an effective technique to do a cesarean delivery. In particular, intrathecal injection of bupivacaine in combination with either dexmedetomidine or sufentanil generated acceptable levels of anesthesia as evidenced by full motor and sensory blocks at the T5 level as evaluated by ice and pinprick tests. Furthermore, compared to individuals who received intrathecal adjuvant dexmedetomidine, pregnant patients who received intrathecal sufentanil had significantly lower postoperative pain levels within the first 24 hours.

Table 3. Outcomes

	Group 1 (n = 24)				Group 2 (n = 28)				p-Value
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
1) Bromage scale	24 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	28 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
2) Hollmen scale				7 (29%)				8 (28%)	0.9
3) T3									
4) T4)				19 (68%)				15 (63%)	0.5
5) T5				2 (8%)				1 (4%)	0.5
6) VAS h24 after surgery		4 ± 2				2 ± 1			0.01
8) Shivering			0 (0%)				2 (7%)		0.2
9) First flatus time within 12 h			24 (100%)				7 (25%)		<0.05
11) between 12–24 h			0 (0%)				20 (39%)		<0.05
12) Nausea			1 (4%)				4 (14%)		0.2
13) Vomiting			1 (4%)				4 (14%)		0.2
14) Itching			0 (0%)				10 (36%)		0.01
15) Apgar 1 min			0 (0%)				0 (0%)		
16) <7			24 (100%)				28 (100%)		
17) >7									
18) Apgar 5 min			0 (0%)				0 (0%)		
19) <7			24 (100%)				28 (100%)		
20) >7)									
21) Motor recovery time			128 ± 2				127 ± 2		
							0.1		

Values are reported as mean, standard deviation (SD), or number of patients (proportion, %).

Of the expecting mothers who received intrathecal sufentanil, our study highlighted tingling as the primary side effect. This finding aligns neatly with analyses of previous research. However, when it comes to other evaluated side effects, no notable difference was detected between the two groups in this study. Consistent with earlier studies [12–15], we also found no differences in neonatal Apgar scores amongst those administered either dexmedetomidine or sufentanil. Regardless of this fact though, there remains a degree of obscurity regarding whether any adverse neonatal effects were connected to using an intrathecal adjuvant. In the current medical scenario, spinal anesthesia—especially at a T4–T6 level—is most frequently employed for cesarean delivery. This method gains value in cases of an urgent cesarean section where there's a dire need to establish effective anesthesia swiftly. Making choices about the local anesthetic used in this technique is directly linked to how long it must function over time—and surely for caesarean sections, ensuring that very T4 level of tactile square remains central is critical. Research has significantly demonstrated that using hyperbaric bupivacaine offers uncompromising pain relief during caesarian procedures while limiting motor blocks and minimizing complications throughout surgery.

As clearly illustrated by Dobrydnjov et al., α2-adrenergic receptor agonists investigated for

intrathecal usage have a lengthy history in Analgesia. This system operates as both presynaptic, decreasing the release of substance P and affecting the primary afferent nerve endings, and postsynaptic—increasing hyperpolarization remedies under conditions prompted by an established intrathecal  $\alpha_2$ -adrenergic agonist [17]. Notably, clonidine shows its potential to enhance antinociceptive effect synergistically when administered onto late-pregnancy pregnant women producing endogenous opioids. Further experimentation suggests that Clonidine could also fundamentally enhance the effects produced with epidural and intrathecal opioids. Let's think of it in this way. The sedation level notably escalates with a dose of clonidine intake, and you know why? It's simply because the activation alpha-2 adrenergic receptor is at work. Strangely enough, these receptors are nestled within the central nervous system known as 'CNS' receptors that decrease norepinephrine release from the locus coeruleus. Intriguingly so, they augment inhibitory activity for (GABA), resulting—notably—in both sedation and anxiolysis [18]. This consecution isn't merely by chance; it's directly proportionate to dosage taken, regardless of administration route, and begins its effect all swift-like about 20 - 30 minutes post-injection [19]. Then enters Dexmedetomidine—a high selective supplement to anesthesia. A number of dependable processes lead to the amplification of anesthetic effects by the  $\alpha_2$ -adrenergic receptor agonist. There are experts in the scientific community who assert that dexmedetomidine, a potent  $\alpha_2$ -agonist, incites vasoconstriction. This could potentially extend pain relief duration. Not only this but Dexmedetomidine also seems to fortify spinal blockade; it does so through a combined action with  $\alpha_2$ -receptors and sodium channels. Medics found out they needed less local anaesthetics for achieving effective spinal anesthesia during certain surgical procedures thanks to this synergy [8]. In terms of administered doses for enhancing anesthesia effect? They managed this feat using just .10  $\mu\text{g}$  worth of Dexmedetomidine inside spinal anaesthesia. A blend of Dexmedetomidine and Bupivacaine just might lengthen the span of treatment whilst minimizing the time it takes for sensory & motor blocks to set in, compared to using bupivacaine singularly. Notably, it offers stability on a hemodynamic level and diminishes our reliance on additional doses of this pain reliever [2].

Dexmedetomidine has the potential to draw out spinal anesthesia duration courtesy an interesting phenomenon - its supraspinal effect manifests within areas like locus coeruleus along with dorsal raphe nucleus. Additionally noteworthy is how dexmedetomidine serves as a more selective  $\alpha_2$ -receptor agonist than clonidine does; bonus being – you get enhanced sedative, as well as analgesic effects. Moreover, the findings indicate that bringing in clonidine— an  $\alpha_2$  receptor agonist— into a hyperbaric bupivacaine expands both how long effective pain and sensory relief persists, as well as motor blocks. What's more interesting is this outcome quite closely mirrors older studies' results. These previous research initiatives disclosed chills appearing within 10 to 30% of a control group, and surprisingly none were found in the dexmedetomidine grouping. In the medical realm, it is found that dexmedetomidine applied directly into the spinal fluid or 'intrathecally' has a significant role in reducing shivering during cesarean deliveries. The manner in which this operates? In other words, it primarily suppresses our body's thermoregulatory center by obstructing the spinal cord's ability to transmit information about body temperature. Why is this significant now? This is the reason: Severe cold increases the body's need for oxygen and produces more carbon dioxide, which can be detrimental to a mother's physiological processes.

Numerous studies have indicated the effectiveness of dexmedetomidine, which can potentially reduce the use of opioids during caesarean section births, thereby lowering the chances of experiencing nausea and vomiting. In addition, dexmedetomidine has proved to be a viable alternative to other post-surgery pain medications by decreasing the required dosage, as revealed in various analyses [3]. Moreover, the intrathecal administration of Dexmedetomidine during cesarean delivery is not only deemed secure for both the mother and fetus but equally efficient. These commendable benefits have enhanced dexmedetomidine's standing significantly. The



unique fat-soluble nature of this substance prevents it from easily entering the placenta and causing potential issues for babies born via cesarean section. Additionally, the Apgar scores at the 1- and 5-minute intervals showed no significant differences in terms of umbilical cord blood gas parameters. The wider usage of dexmedetomidine did not lead to any adverse events like maternal bradycardia or hypotension. Furthermore, there was no evidence of any unusual symptoms within the nervous system, signifying that dexmedetomidine is indeed safe for intrathecal use. Surprisingly, the analgesic effects of sufentanil are prolonged when combined with bupivacaine alone. However, simply increasing the dosage of sufentanil beyond 10 µg does not result in a corresponding increase in pain relief duration, as noted in previous research [20]. Ahmed et al. conducted a study in 2018 which revealed a wide range of itching or pruritus incidents, ranging from a minimum of only 30% to a high of 80%. In some cases, the incidents may even reach almost complete coverage, with calculated amounts nearing 95%, particularly during the post-surgical healing period. According to research, the amount of opioids given directly impacts the occurrence and severity of itchiness. A recent example involved less than 10 µg of sufentanil being added to intrathecal hyperbaric bupivacaine during a caesarean section. Additionally, Wilwerth and colleagues discovered that using just 5 mcg of Sufentanil could potentially be the most beneficial option, as it produced better anesthesia quality with fewer negative side effects. However, if the dosage of sufentanil exceeds 2.5 µg, it has been shown to significantly increase the appearance of pruritus symptoms. Curiously, prominent side-effects such as vomiting, nausea, and troublesome urinary incontinence may arise from the implementation of intravertebral opioids, which operate through the opioid action of the  $\mu$  and  $\kappa$  receptors[21]. An intriguing fusion of hyperbaric bupivacaine and sufentanil at various intrathecal doses gives rise to pruritus as a recurrent side-effect, particularly in association with significant dosages of Sufentanil[22]. Shockingly, the levels can fluctuate up to a staggering 80% among different doses administered for intrathecal Sufentanil[22-24]. It's fascinating to note that both adjuvants are verified as safe for pregnant women testing positive for COVID-19 during cesarean sessions[24,25]. Patients with aorta were affected in all cases where hypotension occurred, which happened in both groups at a rate of less than 30%. vena cava compression syndrome history, often labeled as supine position hypotensive syndrome. After the 20th week of pregnancy and chiefly while in reclining positions, such pathophysiological mechanics happen to pregnant women— it impedes blood flow from their lower extremities towards their maternal heart due to uterine pressure on the inferior vena cava 'n' aorta. There's a knock-on effect here, folks. The blood supply to the placenta ends up getting limited, creating complications that could potentially spiral into maternal and even fetal deaths—a sobering reality [26,27]. When we want direction from our organization? The typical method is the intrathecal delivery of sufentanil quips as an adjuvant. Seldom is it combined with other intrathecal adjuvants like clonidine or dexmedetomidine while using the same anesthetic.

When longer operating periods are anticipated, or when postoperative pain is expected to be worse than that experienced after cesarean section, we typically use a combination spinal epidural or CSE anesthetic. An example of this would be cases of previous abdominal surgery. Dexmedetomidine enters the picture as a lone player frequently in relation to expectant mothers who are already marked with a history of problems and post-surgical shivering. Not to be forgotten, reports have indicated intrathecal opioid involvement.

## Conclusions

Sufentanil (5 mcg) and dexmedetomidine (10 mcg) added to high-pressure bupivacaine adjuvant allowed us to obtain acceptable levels of postoperative analgesia and anesthesia. Note that dexmedetomidine does not give better analgesia than sufentanil, in contrast to other research. The dexmedetomidine group received a score of 6, whereas the sufentanil group received a score of 3, which is the worst VAS score within the first 24 hours. Research has shown that when bupivacaine spinal block is used for prolonged anesthesia, the combination of dexmedetomidine

and sufentanil prevents both motor and sensory blocking. Another useful adjuvant for reducing postoperative shivering is dexmedetomidine. Notwithstanding these advantages, the intrathecal sufentanil group experienced higher adverse effects (such as pruritus) than the other patient groups. Surprisingly, the incidence of hypotension did not rise with the administration of dexmedetomidine as an adjuvant. As a result, the group that received intrathecal sufentanil eventually had a higher level of analgesia following surgery. More research is needed to decide if sufentanil or dexmedetomidine is the better medication for cesarean sections. Prospective randomized trials will be necessary to demonstrate that dexmedetomidine has no adverse effects, such as chills. In individuals who have previously had chills and pruritus during anesthesia, intrathecal dexmedetomidine injection might be a better option.

## References

1. Wilwerth, M.; Majcher, J.L.; Van der Linden, P. Spinal fentanyl vs. sufentanil for post-operative analgesia after C-section: A double-blinded randomised trial. *Acta Anaesthesiol. Scand.* 2016, 60, 1306–1313.
2. Liu, X.; Zhang, X.; Wang, X.; Wang, J.; Wang, H. Comparative evaluation of intrathecal bupivacaine alone and bupivacaine combined with dexmedetomidine in cesarean section using spinal anesthesia: A meta-analysis. *J. Int. Med. Res.* 2019, 47, 2785–2799.
3. Wang, Y.Q.; Zhang, X.J.; Wang, Y. Effect of intrathecal dexmedetomidine on cesarean section during spinal anesthesia: A meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Drug Des. Dev. Ther.* 2019, 13, 2933–2939.
4. Van de Velde, M. Low-dose spinal anesthesia for cesarean section to prevent spinal-induced hypotension. *Curr. Opin. Anaesthesiol.* 2019, 32, 268–270.
5. Sun, S.; Wang, J.; Bao, N.; Chen, Y.; Wang, J. Comparison of dexmedetomidine and fentanyl as local anesthetic adjuvants in spinal anesthesia: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Drug Des. Dev. Ther.* 2017, 11, 3413–3424.
6. Li, Z.; Tian, M.; Zhang, C.Y.; Li, A.Z.; Huang, A.J.; Shi, C.X.; Xin, D.Q.; Qi, J.; Li, K.Z. A Randomised Controlled Trial to Evaluate the Effectiveness of Intrathecal Bupivacaine Combined with Different Adjuvants (Fentanyl, Clonidine and Dexmedetomidine) in Caesarean Section. *Drug Res.* 2015, 65, 581–586.
7. Filos, K.S.; Goudas, L.C.; Patroni, O.; Polyzou, V. Hemodynamic and analgesic profile after intrathecal clonidine in humans. A dose-response study. *Anesthesiology* 1994, 81, 591–601; discussion 27A–28A.
8. Xia, F.; Chang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, L.; Xiao, F. The effect of intrathecal dexmedetomidine on the dose requirement of hyperbaric bupivacaine in spinal anaesthesia for caesarean section: A prospective, double-blinded, randomized study. *BMC Anesthesiol.* 2018, 18, 74.
9. Chiari, A.; Lorber, C.; Eisenach, J.C.; Wildling, E.; Krenn, C.; Zavrsky, A.; Kainz, C.; Germann, P.; Klimscha, W. Analgesic and hemodynamic effects of intrathecal clonidine as the sole analgesic agent during first stage of labor: A dose-response study. *Anesthesiology* 1999, 91, 388–396.
10. Missant, C.; Teunkens, A.; Vandermeersch, E.; Van de Velde, M. Intrathecal clonidine prolongs labour analgesia but worsens fetal outcome: A pilot study. *Can. J. Anaesth.* 2004, 51, 696–701.
11. Belhadj Amor, M.; Draief, A.; Ouezini, R.; Dhahri, S.; Jebali, A.; Lamine, K.; Ferjani, M. 30 microgde clonidine intrathecal prolonge l'analgesie du travail, mais augmente l'incidence des hypotensions et des anomalies du rythme cardiaque foetal [30 microg intrathecal

- clonidine prolongs labour analgesia, but increases the incidence of hypotension and abnormal foetal heart rate patterns]. *Ann. Fr. Anesth. Reanim.* 2007, 26, 916–920.
12. Dahlgren, G.; Hultstrand, C.; Jakobsson, J.; Norman, M.; Eriksson, E.W.; Martin, H. Intrathecal sufentanil, fentanyl, or placebo added to bupivacaine for cesarean section. *Anesth. Analg.* 1997, 85, 1288–1293.
  13. Braga Ade, F.; Braga, F.S.; Potério, G.M.; Pereira, R.I.; Reis, E.; Cremonesi, E. Sufentanil added to hyperbaric bupivacaine for subarachnoid block in Caesarean section. *Eur. J. Anaesthesiol.* 2003, 20, 631–635.
  14. Meininger, D.; Byhahn, C.; Kessler, P.; Nordmeyer, J.; Alparslan, Y.; Hall, B.A.; Bremerich, D.H. Intrathecal fentanyl, sufentanil, or placebo combined with hyperbaric mepivacaine 2% for parturient undergoing elective caesarean delivery. *Anesth. Analg.* 2003, 96, 852–858.
  15. Sun, S.; Wang, J.; Wang, J.; Wang, F.; Xia, H.; Yao, S. Fetal and maternal responses to dexmedetomidine intrathecal application during cesarean section: A meta-analysis. *Med. Sci. Monitor.* 2020, 26, e918523.
  16. Hunt, C.O. Spinal anesthesia for obstetrics. *Int. Anesthesiol. Clin.* 1989, 27, 26–30.
  17. Dobrydnjov, I.; Samarutel, J. Enhancement of intrathecal lidocaine by addition of local and systemic clonidine. *Acta Anaesthesiol. Scand.* 1999, 43, 556–562.
  18. Samuels, E.R.; Szabadi, E. Functional neuroanatomy of the noradrenergic locus coeruleus: Its roles in the regulation of arousal and autonomic function part II: Physiological and pharmacological manipulations and pathological alterations of locus coeruleus activity in humans. *Curr. Neuropharmacol.* 2008, 6, 254–285.
  19. Alves, B.J.; Vianna, P.T.G.  $\alpha 2$ -agonistas anesthesiology: Aspectos clínicos e farmacológicos. *Rev. Bras. Anesthesiol.* 2000, 50, 396–404.
  20. Courtney, M.A.; Bader, A.M.; Hartwell, B.; Hauch, M.; Grennan, M.J.; Datta, S. Perioperative analgesia with sufentanil administration. *Reg. Anesth.* 1992, 17, 535–540.
  21. Karaman, S.; Kocabas, S.; Uyar, M.; Hayzaran, S.; Firat, V. The effects of sufentanil or morphine added to hyperbaric bupivacaine in spinal anaesthesia for Caesarean section. *Eur. J. Anaesthesiol.* 2006, 23, 285–291.
  22. Szarvas, S.; Harmon, D.; Murphy, D. Neuroaxial opioid induced pruritus: A review. *J. Clin. Anesth.* 2003, 15, 234–239.
  23. Synder, S.H. Opiate receptors and internal opiates. *Sci. Am.* 1977, 236, 44–56.
  24. Coviello, A.; Vargas, M.; Marra, A.; Golino, L.; Saccone, G.; Iacovazzo, C.; Frigo, M.G.; Tognù, A.; Ianniello, M.; Buonanno, P.; et al. Protocols of Anesthesia Management in Parturients with SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *Healthcare* 2022, 10, 520.
  25. Coviello, A.; Posillipo, C.; Golino, L.; de Angelis, C.; Gragnano, E.; Saccone, G.; Ianniello, M.; Castellano, G.; Marra, A.; Maresca, A.; et al. Anesthesiologic management of pregnant women with SARS-COV-2 infection undergoing cesarean delivery. *Clin. Exp. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2021, 48, 628–630.
  26. Howard, B.K.; Goodson, J.H.; Mengert, W.F. Supine hypotensive syndrome in late pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1953, 1, 371–377.
  27. Bieniarz, J.; Maqueda, E.; Caldeyro-Barcia, R. Compression of aorta by the uterus in late human pregnancy. I. Variations between femoral and brachial artery pressure with changes from hypertension to hypotension. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 1966, 95, 795–808.