

Provision in the Law Enforcement System Human Rights Protection Activities

Yuri Pulatov

Professor of the Department of the University of Public Safety Of the Republic of Uzbekistan,
Doctor of Law, Professor

Article Information

Received: July 10, 2023

Accepted: Aug 11, 2023

Published: Sep 12, 2023

Keywords: law enforcement agencies, security, activity, legality, protection of citizens' rights, personal integrity, legal regulation, organizational activity, work with personnel, control.

ABSTRACT

the article deals with the issues of organizational and legal support of human rights in law enforcement, examines the problems of legality of ensuring this activity, and also identifies priority areas of activity to prevent violations of personal integrity of citizens in the activities of law enforcement agencies: legal regulation of their activities; organizational activities of law enforcement agencies aimed at ensuring this right of the individual; work with law enforcement personnel and control over their activities law enforcement agencies.

In order to further improve the effective performance of judicial, law enforcement and supervisory authorities in ensuring the rule of law and strengthening the rule of law in society, a program of comprehensive measures on priority areas of development of the judicial and legal system was approved in 2016 [1]. provisions of this Program also apply directly to law enforcement agencies.

Performing the functions of protecting the rights and freedoms of citizens, the legitimate interests of society and the state, law enforcement agencies have to use measures that limit the personal inviolability of citizens as a necessary means in their activities [2]. They are aimed at combating offenses in order to ensure conditions for achieving the truth in cases of offenses, preventing the commission of new socially dangerous acts, as well as correcting offenders, which is provided for by laws and does not contradict the constitutional provision on personal inviolability. Such measures applied by law enforcement agencies include administrative and criminal-procedural detention, arrest, summoning, remand in custody as a preventive measure, forced placement of a suspect or accused for forensic medical examination in psychiatric medical institution for inpatient observation [3]. Their application is conditioned by the need to ensure the administrative and criminal procedure activities of law enforcement

agencies. Realization the right of personal inviolability of citizens in this case depends on with the requirements of laws and laws, which determine the limits permissible and necessary restrictions on this right of citizens committed offenses. A person who has committed an offense bears not only the obligation to be punished, but also has right corresponding to this obligation - to demand that law enforcement agencies ensure the rule of law when applying law-restrictive 4].

The tasks of the current level of development of our society, increasing the importance citizens' rights and freedoms require further strengthening the legal frame work and improving the organizational activities of law enforcement agencies [5]. As the practice of the activities of these bodies shows, among the violations of the law committed by law enforcement officers, a significant part is violations that directly affect the personal integrity of citizens [6]. These include, first, unjustified detention on suspicion of committing a crime, illegal arrest, illegal placement in a medical sobering-up center, special reception facilities for holding persons arrested in an administrative manner, rehabilitation centers for persons without a certain place of residence and occupation, room for temporarily detained persons, illegal application of administrative measures related to restriction individual freedom. At the same time, it should be noted that the task of ensuring personal integrity is not limited to eliminating cases illegal arrests and detentions. It includes a set of measures, It is aimed at improving law-making and law enforcement, organizational and educational work in law enforcement agencies and is directly related to solving common tasks to strengthen the rule of law. The development and implementation of these measures should be preceded by work to clarify the negative causes that contribute to the violation of the personal integrity of citizens in the performance of, and to identify frequent related to the infringement of this constitutional right of citizens.

The study of the results of interviewing practitioners of law enforcement agencies of the prosecutor's office and the court of Uzbekistan [7] allows us to identify the most significant and common causes that lead to a violation personal integrity of citizens in the activities of law enforcement agencies. These include the following: imperfection of the departmental legal regulation of the activities of law enforcement agencies; complexity of the procedural requirements for the commission of certain legal actions; overburdened investigators with the investigation of criminal cases of various types. lack of specialization in certain types of crimes and shortcomings in the interaction of investigators with the bodies of inquiry; violation of the procedural independence of investigators; assignment uncharacteristic functions to individual employees; incompleteness in collecting evidence; incorrect assessment of established circumstances and collected data; weakening of official discipline; opposition of expediency and legality; shortcomings: in the level of legal training of employees law enforcement agencies; in the selection, placement and education of personnel; in the organization of their professional training; in the organization and implementation of control over compliance law in the activities of law enforcement agencies.

The analysis of these reasons allows us to identify the following priority areas of activity to prevent violations of the personal integrity of citizens in the activities of law enforcement agencies: legal regulation of the activities enforcement agencies affect the personal integrity of citizens; organizational activities in enforcement agencies aimed at ensuring this right of the individual; work with law; control over the activities enforcement agencies.

In the legal regulation of the activities of law enforcement agencies, it is necessary to highlight the issues of departmental rulemaking. It is possible to single out the following as the immediate tasks for improving the departmental legal regulation of the activities of law enforcement agencies that affect the personal integrity of citizens.

First, the definition of the state and appropriate limits of legal regulation of this activity. As the study of materials on violations of personal inviolability of citizens shows, these negative

phenomena sometimes occur either because of the inconsistency of certain departmental acts with the constitutional right of personal inviolability, or because of their incompleteness, despite the fact that there is an abundance of them in quantitative terms, often makes it difficult to assimilate their content. For a complete exception non-compliance of some departmental documents with laws and other regulatory acts of the highest state authorities and management, elimination of duplication in departmental acts, improvement of the content regulatory prescriptions.

Secondly, an important task of improving the legal regulation of law enforcement agencies is to reduce excessive departmental rule-making. Today, we often face a problem when law enforcement officers, in particular crime prevention inspectors, do not have time not only to assimilate existing departmental acts, but also to monitor new regulations.

Improving the organizational activities of law enforcement agencies aimed at preventing violations of the personal integrity of citizens includes many different aspects and is the subject of a special study, which can include:

- determination and maintenance of the permissible level of official workload, exceeding which, under appropriate conditions, may lead to the possibility of unlawful administrative or criminal prosecution, illegal application of preventive measures and other violations of personal integrity;
- elimination of unfavorable conditions for the work of law enforcement officers, in particular, due to the understaffing of the personnel staff, which means that employees are assigned functions that are not typical of them, for which they do not have the appropriate knowledge or experience. In the absence of proper control over the activities of such employees, the probability of violating the law increases significantly;
- taking measures to establish a more precise system for identifying, recording and analyzing violations of the personal integrity of citizens committed by law enforcement officers. At the same time, it is necessary to pay special attention to: the channels through which information about violations is received; the reliability of these channels, the feasibility of duplicating them, and the possibility of using them. additional sources of information; on the state of control over the completeness and reliability of incoming information, on the procedure for registering, passing and resolving information; on the compliance of this procedure with the requirements of orders and instructions of law enforcement agencies; on the role of services and divisions in detecting crimes and other offenses.

Improving this system will allow: to get a correct picture of the state of legality in law enforcement agencies, conduct appropriate analytical work and take the necessary measures to eliminate the causes rise to these offenses. And this, in turn, will increase: authority enforcement agencies; ensuring protection and protection of personal rights of citizens; ensuring the implementation basic principles of the law on the inevitability of punishment; preventing these violations in the future.

It should be noted that an important area in the fight against violations of the personal integrity of citizens by law is **improvement work with personnel**. It includes the selection and placement of personnel, their professional training, and educational work. Practice shows that in many cases of violation of the rule of law by law officers is associated: with a low level of their legal culture; with inability to use the Internet. legal norms, apply them to specific situations, with the identification and analysis of legally significant signs of emerging situations; with an irresponsible attitude to the violation of personal inviolability of citizens; with unconsciousness of their own mistakes.

Therefore, an important task in working with personnel aimed at preventing violations of the personal integrity of citizens by law enforcement officers is the correct orientation of their legal

awareness., there are attempts to justify illegal actions by referring to the expediency, interests of the case, etc.

The need for the formation of professional legal awareness is also caused by the fact that law enforcement officers, communicating with offenders on a daily basis and often entering into conflict situations, can be subject to the so-called professional deformation. Therefore, it is necessary to develop a set of special measures that contribute to the development of strong socio-psychological immunity against professional deformation of the personality of a law enforcement officer.

In the correct application of coercive measures by law enforcement agencies, and complete detection, suppression and prevention of violations personal integrity of citizens, the law. Control over the observance of personal inviolability of citizens in the activities enforcement agencies is diverse in subjects, forms and methods of its implementation. A variety of ways Ensuring the rule of law is due to the versatile nature of the activities of law enforcement agencies, the need to quickly and completely identify and eliminate violations of the rule of law, and take effective measures to prevent violations.

The combination of different types of control over legality (state, interdepartmental, public control, supervision of prosecutor and judicial control), on the one hand, allows it to be carried out overall aspects of the activities of law enforcement agencies, on the other hand, requires clear distinction between the competence of control and supervisory bodies in order to avoid duplication in their work. They should complement each other, not replace each other, so clarifying the competence, improving it, etc. the systems, organizational structures and forms of activity of control and supervisory bodies are of great practical importance for ensuring and strictly observing the personal integrity of citizens in the activities of law enforcement agencies.

Literature:

1. Указ.. Президента ...Республики ...Узбекистан ...от ...21 октября ..2016 года. № УП-4850 «О мерах по дальнейшему реформированию судебно-правовой системы, усиления гарантий надежной защиты прав и свобод граждан» //Пресс-бюллетень Аппарата .Президента .Республики .Узбекистан. – Ташкент, 2016 г., .№ 4 (102). - С. 176-179.
2. Главу XI Конституции Республики Узбекистан. – Ташкент: «Узбекистон», 2023; главу 26 УПК Республики Узбекистан. – Т.: Адолат, 2022.; глава III Кодекса Республики Узбекистан об административной ответственности.
3. Главы 27-31 раздела четвертого УПК РУ; статьи 29, 291 и 292 КоАО РУ.
4. Статьи 2, 11, 18, 23-24, 27 и др. УПК РУ; статьи 2 и 297 КоАО РУ.
5. Указ Президента Республики Узбекистан от 26 марта 2021 года, № УП-6196 «О мерах по поднятию на качественно новый уровень деятельности органов внутренних дел в сфере обеспечения общественной безопасности и борьбы с преступностью» //Народное слово, 2021 г., 29 марта; Постановление .Президента .Республики ..Узбекистан .от .13 ..декабря ..2019 г.