

# Attitudes and Motivation in Learning English as Second Language in High School Students

**Atoboyeva Aziza Muhiddin qizi**

National University of Uzbekistan, Foreign Philology Faculty

**Kholmurodova Munisa Azizjon qizi**

Bukhara State Pedagogical Institute, Foreign Philology Faculty

## Article Information

**Received:** April 21, 2023

**Accepted:** May 22, 2023

**Published:** June 23, 2023

**Keywords:** *Demeanor; instrumental inspiration; integrator inspiration; outside Dialect; L2.*

## ABSTRACT

*This considers examines Iranian students' states of mind and inspirations toward learning English. The test of the think about comprises of 123 male and female understudies from two tall schools within the moment scholarly years. The instrument utilized may be a survey which comprises 18 things created to realize the points of the consider. Comes about appeared that the Young lady understudies are more integrator propelled and boy understudies are more instrumental propelled to learning English. In expansion, the young ladies have more positive demeanor toward learning English than boys, they too are more slanted to bilingualism. Be that as it may, there's no noteworthy contrast between tall school understudies in motivational introductions, whereas they have positive demeanor to learning English as a moment dialect.*

## 1. Presentation

A major concern to moment or outside dialect (L2) analysts has been the part played by attitudinal/motivational components in L2 learning. These variables are profound inside the students' minds. Inspiration has been broadly acknowledged by both instructors and analysts as one of the key components that impact the rate and victory of second/foreign dialect learning. The first impulse in second/foreign (L2) inspiration investigate comes from the social brain research since learning the dialect of another community basically cannot be isolated from the learners' social miens towards the discourse community in address. Lambert (1963b) has proposed a 'social mental model' in which he has emphasized cognitive variables such as dialect aptitudes and insights as well as emotional variables such as demeanors and inspiration. In his show, he proposes that the degree to which an person effectively secures a moment dialect will depend upon ethnocentric inclinations, demeanors towards the other community, introduction towards dialect learning and inspiration. Another pioneer in this field, Gardner (1985), characterizes L2 inspiration as "the degree to which an individual works or endeavors to memorize the dialect since of a want to do so and the fulfillment experienced in this activity" (p: 10); more particularly, inspiration is conceptualized to subsume three components, motivational concentrated, crave to memorize the dialect, and an demeanor towards the act of learning the dialect. Inspiration in Gardner's hypothesis does not contain any integrator or instrumental components. There does exist an integrator or instrumental polarity in Gardner's model but typically at the introduction (i.e. objective) level, and as such, isn't portion of the center

inspiration component; or maybe, the two introductions work only as motivational predecessors that offer assistance to stimulate inspiration and coordinate it towards a set of objectives, either with a solid interpersonal quality (integrator) or a solid down to earth quality (instrumental). The level of the relationship between students' possess social foundation and the foundation anticipated by the L2 culture frequently impacts their states of mind toward L2 in specific and toward their inspiration to memorize it in common. In this regard, analysts such as (Lambert, 1990) recognized between two major sorts of bilingualism: 'additive' and 'subtractive'. In added substance bilingualism, the learners feel that they are including something modern to their aptitudes and encounter by learning a unused dialect, without taking anything absent from what they as of now know. In subtractive bilingualism, on the other hand, they feel that learning a unused dialect undermines what they as of now pick up for themselves. Effective L2 learning takes put in added substance circumstances; learners who see the moment dialect as reducing themselves will not succeed. Be that as it may, learners appear a solid distinguishing proof with bilingual or trilingual personality. The majority of those included within the ponder conducted by Cummins, Lopes and Lord in 1987 rejected a unilingual personality, be it English or Portuguese. In other words, the understudies showed up to be selecting for added substance bilingualism, which may be a frame of character very distinctive from that of the Portuguese, or the Anglo unilingual (d'Anglejan, 1990). The work of Gardner and Lambert appears to have laid the establishment stone for a huge body of investigate. They recognized between two major sorts of inspiration that can be related to L2 learning: integrator and instrumental. Integrator inspiration, on the one hand, reflects the learner's eagerness or wants to be like a agent part of the other dialect community (Gardner & Lambert, 1972). It too reflects the learner's tall level of exertion to memorize the dialect of a esteemed L2 community in arrange to communicate with the bunch.

Besides, integrator inspiration reflects an intrigued in L2, a want to memorize the target dialect and an demeanor toward the learning circumstance, and the target dialect community (Gardner, 1982). Instrumental inspiration, on the other hand, is characterized by a want to pick up social acknowledgment or financial focal points through knowing an L2 (Gardner & Lambert, 1972). It is additionally characterized by an intrigued in learning L2 for practical and utilitarian benefits such as a tall compensation, control, or career (Johnson, 2001). L2 inspiration does not require choosing either integrator or instrumental inspiration. Both types are critical. A learner might learn an L2 well with an integrator inspiration or with an instrumental one, or undoubtedly with both (Cook, 1991).

The level of recognizable proof with bilingual or trilingual personality is additionally affected by the learners' demeanors toward the learning circumstance. These states of mind allude to the individuals' responses to anything related with the promptsetting (e.g., the course and the educating environment) in which the dialect is instructed (Gardner, 1985). Different ponders conducted on the regions of demeanors and inspiration pointed in most cases at distinguishing the students' demeanors toward learning L2 and the kind of inspiration they have for learning it. The larger part of these ponders centered on the students' discernments of English as an L2 in specific and on European dialects in general. They will be respected to be a base for talking about the comes about gotten from the display ponder. With respect to Norwegian learning setting in which (Svanes, 1987) inspired the sees of 167 L2 understudies selected in classes at the College of Bergen, comes about illustrate that Center Eastern, Asian and African understudies appear more instrumental inspiration to consider Norwegian than European and North American ones. The comes about too illustrate no noteworthy sex contrasts in integrator inspiration in any of the bunches. Concerning instrumental inspiration, men appear to some degree higher instrumental inspiration than ladies do. It appears that the understudies who come from South American have a diverse see of the reasons why they learn an L2. That's they are more instrumentally spurred to memorize it than North American understudies. In other words, the major variables that energize them to study L2 are scruples (work-related values), social pleasantness and individual warmth (El-Dash, 2001). In an endeavor made by (Ladegard, 2000) to test the speculation which recommends that male subjects would be closer to the remote dialect and remote culture, which they would express more positive demeanors towards in-group individuals than female subjects would, comes about appear that male subjects have more vernacular highlight in their

dialect, which these subjects express more truly positive demeanors towards the neighborhood vernacular than female subjects do. As a result of an examination made by (Masgoret & Gardner, 2003) which centered on the relationship of moment dialect accomplishment to five attitude/motivation factors, comes about clearly illustrate that the correlation between achievement and inspiration is consistently higher than that between accomplishment and integration, which this relationship is to some degree lower than that between accomplishment and states of mind toward the learning circumstance. In an investigation carried out by (Yashima, Zenuk-Nishide & Shimizu, 2004) on Japanese youthful learners' willingness to communicate (WTC) in English as an L2, results show that those who have higher WTC scores tend to communicate more in the classroom and to ask questions or talk to teachers more frequently outside class. Those who have more interest in international vocation/activities seem to be more willing to communicate in English and voluntarily engage in communication more frequently.

**Conclusion and discussion.** The results of the study reveal that the girl subjects are more inclined to bilingualism than boys. They think they add something new to their experience by studying English. In addition, they feel it is important to speak two languages. However wasn't observed significant difference among girls and boys in negative attitude. These results are contrary with the hypothesis suggested by (Ladegard, 2000) which says that male subjects would be closer to L2 and to its culture than female subjects would. This kind of inclination to bilingualism is similar to that of L2 learners who have the characteristic 'additive bilingualism' (Lambert, 1990), and to that favoured by the subjects involved in the study conducted by Cummins, Lopes and King in 1987. This inclination to bilingualism may also explain their integration in learning English. This integration is not the same as that shown by the subjects involved in the studies done by Svanes (1987). This shared belief may make them more interested in English and more inclined to broaden their horizon and to build-up their personality through learning this language. Authors also think that the subjects' inclination to bilingualism and integration is an attempt to reshape their identity in a way that makes it resonate to English culture and the descent beliefs of Iranians and their way of thinking. As regards gender, results show significant differences between the subjects' responses to each of the instrumental and integrative types of motivation and to both together. Male students are more instrumental motivated to study or learn English, however female students are more integrative motivated, while wasn't found significant difference between male and female students in learning foreign language in general. Although the girl subjects are integrative motivated to learn English, results demonstrate that there are major instrumental factors that make them do so: respect in the society and utilitarian benefits. These factors are similar to ones that often make Asian students study an L2 (Svanes, 1987 & Dornyei, 1990). Also female students have more positive attitude to learning English as a foreign language than male students in general. There is no significant difference between types of motivation (instrumental and integrative) in high school students. Whereas, we can say with confidence high school students have very positive attitude to learning English as foreign language.

## References

1. Cook, V. (1991). *Second language learning and language teaching*. London: Edward Arnold.
2. d'Anglejan, A (1990). The role of context and age in the development of bilingual proficiency. In B. Harley, P. Allen, J. Cummins & S. Merrill (eds), *The development of second language proficiency* (pp. 146-157). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
3. Dornyei, Z. (1990). Conceptualizing motivation in foreign-language learning. *Language Learning*. 40 (1), 45-78.
4. El-Dash, L. (2001). Perceived in-Group and out-Group stereotypes among Brazilian foreign language students. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics*. 11 (2), 224-238.
5. Gardner, R. C. (1980), on the validity of affective variables in second language acquisition: conceptual, contextual and statistical considerations, *Language Learning*, 30, 255-270.

6. Gardner, R. C. and Smythe, P.C. (1981), on the development of the attitude/motivation test battery, *Canadian Modern Language Review*, 37, 510-525
7. Gardner, R. (1982). 'On' Gardner on Affect': A discussion of validity as it relates to the attitudinal/ motivational test battery: A response from Gardner. *Language Learning*. 32 (3), 191-199.
8. Gardner, R. (1985). *Social psychology and second language learning*. London: Edward Arnold.
9. Gardner, R. & Lambert, W. (1972). Culture and language as factors in learning and education. In F. Bound and R. Meade (eds), *Cultural factors in learning and education*, (pp. 184-203). Bellingham: Fifth Western Washington Symposium on Learning.
10. Johnson, K. (2001). *An introduction to foreign language learning and teaching*. England: Pearson Education Limited.
11. Ladegard, A. (2000). Attitudes, orientation and orientation in language learning: Advances in Theory, Research, and Applications. *Language Learning*. 40 (1), 45-78.
12. Lambert, W. (1963). Psychological approaches to the study of language. *Modern Language Journal*. 47, 51-62.
13. Lambert, W. (1990). Persistent issues in bilingualism. In B. Harley, P. Allen, J. Cummins & M. Swain (eds), *The development of second language proficiency*. (pp. 201-218). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
14. Masgoret, A. & Gardner, R. (2003). Attitudes, motivation and second language learning: a Meta-analysis of studies conducted by Gardner and Associates. *Language Learning*. 53 (1), 123-164.
15. Svanes, B. (1987). Motivational and cultural distance in second- language acquisition. *Language Learning*. 37 (3), 341-359.
16. Yashima, T., Zenuk-Nishide, L. & Shimizu, K. (2004). The influence of attitudes and effect on willingness to communicate and second language communication. *Language Learning*. 54 (1), 119-152.