# **SCHOLASTIC:**

# Journal of Natural and Medical Education

Volume 2 Issue 2, Year 2023 ISSN: 2835-303X https://univerpubl.com/index.php/scholastic

# Evaluation of Nurse's Practice for Patient with Craniotomy after Discharge in Baghdad Teaching Hospitals

# Amna H. Jasim, PhD

Academic Nurse, Adults Nursing Department, College of Nursing, University of Baghdad, Iraq

# Dr. Batool A. Jadoe, PhD

Professor, Adults Nursing Department, College of Nursing, University of Baghdad, Iraq

# Dr. Wafika T. Abd

Assistant Professor, Dental Surgery Deparment, College of Nursing, AL\_kitab University, Iraq

#### **Article Information**

Received: December 06, 2022 Accepted: January 07, 2023 Published: February 09, 2023

**Keywords:** *evaluation, nurses, practice, patient, craniotomy, discharge.* 

#### ABSTRACT

Craniotomy is a terrifying ordeal for patients and their families. The postoperative period is a patient's weakest and most vulnerable time. Despite teaching moments about postoperative directions, patients' concerns about daily living activities prior to and after discharge are the most common.

Objectives: evaluation of Nurse's Practice for Patient with Craniotomy After Discharge and to find out the relationship between nurse's knowledge and practices and their demographics characteristics.

Methodology: A non-probability (purpose) sample of (60) nurses who were working in neurosurgery wards, were selected from Baghdad Teaching Hospitals. Study starting from march 16nd, 2022 up to the April 16th, 2023. Non-probability (purposive sample) of (80) nurses, who were working in emergency departments, were selected from Baghdad Teaching Hospitals.

The data were collected through the use of constructed questionnaire, which consist of two parts (1) Demographic data that consist (7) items and (2) Nurses' practice which consist of (24) items and Reliability was determined through a pilot study and the validity through a panel of (13) experts, Descriptive statistical analysis procedures (frequency, percentage, mean of score, Relative Sufficiency) and inferential statistical analysis procedures (anova) were used for the data analysis.

Results: The results of the study indicated that (66.7%) of the study sample were female, their advance age within 23-27 years, (38.3%) were graduate from collage of nursing, (51.6%) had years of experience in neurosurgical ward within (1-5) years and (50.0%) Number of years of employment in hospitals within (6-10) years, (40.0%) had one training session (5.0%).

Conclusions: The researcher can conclude that the majority of the nurses had poor practice concerning patient with craniotomy and There was non-significant association between the nurse's knowledge and their gender and age but there is significant association between nurse's knowledge and educational level.

Recommendations: The study recommends that the referral hospitals should develop procedure manuals that provide detailed information about all procedure and care for patient with craniotomy, the procedure manual should be subject to an annual audit, and active steps should be initiated to remedy identified deficiencies.

# I. Introduction

A craniotomy is a surgical technique in which the surgeon exposes the brain by removing a piece of the skull bone. The bone flap removed by using specialized equipment to reach a piece of bone. Briefly bone flap is removed after brain surgery and subsequently reinstated (Johns Hopkins Medicine, 2022). Comasky (2020) defines craniotomy as a procedure that involves opening the head to access the brain. The term craniotomy refers to the procedure of creating a hole (-otomy) in the skull (cranium). A neurosurgeon, who specializes in brain and spinal cord surgery. A craniotomy is performed to treat a variety of disorders of the brain and its surrounding structures surgically. A subarachnoid hemorrhage (bleeding on the surface of the brain), a brain tumor (abnormal cell growth in the brain), or a catastrophic head injury are the most prevalent reasons for a craniotomy (Brain and Spine Foundation, 2018).

# II. Methodology

An experimental design was conducted in the Baghdad teaching hospitals started from the March 22nd, 2022 up to the April 16th, 2023. A purposive "non-probability" sample of (60) nurses, who have been working at neurosurgical wards, were selected from Baghdad Teaching Hospitals which include Neurosurgical Teaching Hospital, Ghazi-Alhariri Teaching Hospital, and Neuroscience (sa'ad –alwetri) Teaching Hospital, the data were collected in the period from May 20<sup>th</sup> 2022 until the July 23<sup>rd</sup> 2022. The researcher collected the samples by observation through special designed questionnaire. This observation took a period of about 15- 30 Minutes for each sample. The questionnaire was constructed and composed of two parts **Part I:** Demographic Characteristics: It consists of (7) items which included: age, gender, level of education, Number of years in employment, Number of years in the neurosurgical wards, Number of training sessions, **Part II:** Nurses' practice toward patient with craniotomy includes (24) items. A pilot study was carried out between the periods from March 1nd, 2022, until March 20th; 2022.on (10) nurses who work at neurosurgery wards in Baghdad Teaching Hospital to determine the reliability of the questionnaire and content validity was carried out through the (13) experts. Descriptive and inferential statistical measures were used to analyze the data.

# III. Results

Demographic Data	Groups	Freq.	%
Age / Years	18-22	6	10.0
	23-27	23	38.3
	28-32	7	11.7
	33-37	10	16.7
	38-42	7	11.7
	43 or more	7	11.7
	Total	60	100.0
Gender	Male	20	33.3
	Female	40	66.7
	Total	60	100.0
Level of education	Diploma degree	11	18.4
	secondary nursing school graduate	18	30.0
	college of Nursing graduate	31	51.6
	High institute graduate	0	0
	Total	60	100.0
Number of years of employment in	1-5	22	36.7
hospitals	6-10	24	40.0

Table 1. Participants' Socio-demographic Characteristics (N = 60)

 $\odot$  2023 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

	11 15	5	0.2
	11-13	Э	8.3
	16-20	2	3.3
	21-25	4	6.7
	26 or more	3	5.0
	Total	60	100.0
Number of years of experiences in	1-5	30	50.0
neurosurgical ward	6-10	23	38.4
	11-15	3	5.0
	16-20	2	3.3
	21-25	2	3.3
	26 or more	0	0.000
	Total	60	100.0
have you attended any educational	Yes	3	5.0
training program regarding care of	No	57	95.0
patient with craniotomy	Total	60	100.0
training session intra or exit Iraq	No	57	95.0
	Intra Iraq	3	5.0
	Total	60	100.0
Number of training course	No	57	95.0
	One	3	5.0
	Total	60	100.0

Table (1) This tables reveals that the majority of the sample were female (66.7%) while (33.3%) were males. According to their age; the highest percentage of the nurses (38.3%) was within the age group (23-27) years. Regarding their education level; the highest percentages of the nurses (51.6%) graduate from collage of nursing. Concerning Years of employment; the highest percentage of the nurses (40.0%) within the group (6-10) years. According to their Year of experience in neurosurgery ward; the highest percentage of the nurses (50.0%) within the group (1-5) years. Regarding their Training session; the highest percentage of the nurses (95.0%) the nurses had no training session regarding care of patient with craniotomy.

No	Item	Rating	Freq.	%	M.S.	S.D	Assessment
1.	Monitor and record	Never	50	83.3	1.18	.431	Never
	blood pressure	Sometime	9	15.0			
		Always	1	1.7			
2.	Monitor and record	Never	23	38.3	1.67	.547	Sometime
	temperature	Sometime	35	58.3			
		Always	2	3.3			
3.	Monitor and record	Never	31	51.7	1.48	.504	Never
	pulse rate	Sometime	29	48.3			
		Always	0	0			
4.	Monitor and record	Never	44	73.3	1.28	.490	Never
	pupil reaction	Sometime	15	25.0			
		Always	1	1.7			
5.	Monitor and record level	Never	42	70.0	1.32	.504	Never
	of consciousness	Sometime	17	28.3			
		Always	1	1.7			
6.	Elevate head of bed 30	Never	31	51.7	1.50	.537	Never
	degree as order	Sometime	28	46.7			

Table 2. Mean of Score of evaluation of nurses' practice Toward patient with craniotomy
after discharge

 $\odot$  2023 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



		Always	1	1.7			
7.	Provide mouth care	Never	49	81.7	1.20	.443	Never
		Sometime	10	16.7			
		Always	1	1.7			
8.	Provide nose car	Never	54	90.0	1.13	.430	Never
		Sometime	4	6.7			
		Always	2	3.3			
9.	Provide ear care	Never	49	81.7	1.22	.490	Never
		Sometime	9	15.0			
		Always	2	3.3			
10.	Maintain a good airway	Never	28	46.7	1.55	.534	Never
	for patient	Sometime	31	51.7			
	*	Always	1	1.7			
11.	Provide a good	Never	43	71.7	1.33	.572	Never
	ventilated and guite with	Sometime	14	23.3			
	suitable room	Always	3	5.0			
	temperature	·· •• <b>j</b> ~	-				
12.	Cleaning the skin by	Never	39	65.0	1.35	.481	Never
	warm water and sponge	Sometime	21	35.0			
		Always	0	0			
13.	Apply N.G tube after	Never	45	75.0	1.25	.437	Never
	24-48 hour in post	Sometime	15	25.0			
	craniotomy patient	Always	0	0			
14.	Encourage the patient to	Never	32	53.3	1.50	.567	Never
	take a deep breath every	Sometime	26	43.3			
	2 hours	Always	2	3.3			
15.	Administer artificial	Never	47	78.3	1.22	.415	Never
	tears (eye drops) as	Sometime	13	21.7			
	ordered	Always	0	0			
16.	Apply folly catheter for	Never	41	68.3	1.35	.547	Never
	patient	Sometime	17	28.3			
	L.	Always	2	3.3			
17.	Give the patient mild	Never	34	56.7	1.43	.500	Never
	cathartic if he\she had	Sometime	26	43.3			
	constipation, and wash	Always	0	0			
	the colon in next day	,					
18.	accurate record of intake	Never	32	53.3	1.47	.503	Never
	and output	Sometime	28	46.7			
		Always	0	0			
19.	Inspect side of operation	Never	51	85.0	1.15	.360	Never
	for any bleeding or	Sometime	9	15.0			
	cerebrospinal fluid	Always	0	0			
	leakage	•					
20.	Provide skin care to	Never	27	45.0	1.55	.502	Never
	prevent bedsore like	Sometime	33	55.0			
	change position	Always	0	0			
21.	Assess for sign and	Never	49	81.7	1.23	.533	Never
	symptom of meningitis,	Sometime	8	13.3			
		Always	3	5.0			
22.	Put the patient on semi-	Never	35	58.3	1.42	.497	Never
	prone position or lateral	Sometime	25	41.7			
	position	Always	0	0			
23.	Perform passive range	Never	50	83.3	1.17	.376	Never

 $\odot$  2023 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

	of motion exercises for	Sometime	10	16.7			
	upper and lower	Always	0	0			
	extremities every 2-4	-					
	hours						
24.	Continuously talks to	Never	53	88.3	1.12	.324	Never
	the patient while	Sometime	7	11.7			
	providing care	Always	0	0			

Table (2) shows the evaluation of nurses' practices about patient with craniotomy after discharge, which displays all nurses' practices about patient with craniotomy after discharge never applied except in item (2).

Table (3) Mean Differences (ANOVA) Between the Overall evaluation of nurses'	practice
and Their Demographic Characteristic (N=60)	

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
gender	Between	2.171	10	.217	.953	.495
C	Groups					
	Within Groups	11.162	49	.228		
	Total	13.333	59			
age	Between	8.171	10	.817	.290	.980
C C	Groups					
	Within Groups	138.162	49	2.820		
	Total	146.333	59			
level of education	Between	6.105	10	.610	.970	.481
	Groups					
	Within Groups	30.829	49	.629		
	Total	36.933	59			
Number of years of employment	Between	40.636	10	4.064	2.608	.013
in hospitals	Groups					
	Within Groups	76.348	49	1.558		
	Total	116.983	59			
Number of years of experiences	Between	26.810	10	2.681	3.095	.004
in neurosurgical ward	Groups					
	Within Groups	42.440	49	.866		
	Total	69.250	59			
A- have you attended any	Between	1.088	10	.109	3.026	.005
educational training program	Groups					
regarding care of patient with	Within Groups	1.762	49	.036		
craniotomy	Total	2.850	59			
training session intra or exit Iraq	Between	1.088	10	.109	3.026	.005
	Groups					
	Within Groups	1.762	49	.036		
	Total	2.850	59			
Number of training course	Between	1.088	10	.109	3.026	.005
	Groups					
	Within Groups	1.762	49	.036		
	Total	2.850	59			

Table (3) shows that there is no statistically significant relationship between nurses' practice and their age, level of education, total number of service years, and number of experience years in neurosurgery wards with a p-value of less than 0.05, respectively.



# **IV. Discussion**

### **Discussion of the Socio-demographic Characteristics of Studied Sample (Table 1):**

Through the data analysis of distribution of the socio-demographic variables, (Table 1) reveals that approximately more than half of the study sample (66.7%) were female. This finding was in agreement with those of Huang et al. (2018), who found in a study entitled "Trends in the prevalence of congenital hydrocephalus in 14 cities in Liaoning province, China from 2006 to 2015 in a population-based birth defect registry from the Liaoning Women and Children's Health Hospital" that more than two thirds of studied nurses were females.

Omrani et al. (2018), who carried out a study entitled "Effect of introduction of a standardized peri- operative protocol on CSF shunt infection rate" and reported that more than half of studied nurses were males.

The highest proportion (38.3%) of the sample are within the age group (23-27). This result disagree with a study done by Kalu& Bwalya (2017), who found in a study entitled "Qualitative research in nursing and healthcare" that most of the nurses were in the age group ranged from 25 < 35 years.

Concerning the level of education, the results revealed that the half of the sample (51.6%) were graduated from nursing collage. This finding disagree with studies done by Mohammad, (2018), In relation to the level of education, it was noted that only (22.2%) of the study subjects were Secondary nursing. education, while the majority (51.1%) of them have Technical nursing degree. As regards to years of experience,

Regarding years of experiences in hospitals, one third of them (40.0%) are within (6-10) years, this result is disagree with study done by Clement et al., (2019), show the most of the samples i.e. 23 (46%) were having the experience of 1 to 5 years and 19(38%) samples were having the experience of less than 1 years.

Regarding the numbers of training session, the study demonstrated that (5%) had (1) training course. And this result disagrees with the study done by Taha (2012) in an published doctorate thesis entitled, a study to evaluate the impact of a designed teaching protocol about advanced cardiac life support on critical care nurses' knowledge and practices at Benha University Hospital, Cairo, Egypt, the study revealed that the most of studied nurses units had not trained.

#### Evaluation of nurses practices towards patient with craniotomy after discharge (Table 2)

The findings indicated that the study sample responses were evaluated. that the study of nurses practices towards patient with craniotomy after discharge in neurosurgical ward are inadequate.

Zhang et al. (2018), who found in a study entitled "Anti-VEGF treatment improves neurological function in tumors of the nervous system" that two thirds of the studied nurses were competent in caring of EVD among children with brain tumor.

# Mean differences between the overall evaluation of the nurses' practices regarding patient with craniotomy after discharge according to nurses demographic characteristics (Table 3)

Findings shows that there is statistical significant between nurses practices their level of education and Number of experience years in neurosurgical ward, follow up p-value  $\leq 0.05$ .

Nora et al.,2021 found in their study, no statistical significant differences between nurses' total practices and their characteristics.

Sam et al. (2018), who found in a study entitled "The organisms and factors affecting outcomes of EVD catheterrelated ventriculitis" that there was no statistical significant difference between nurses' total practices and their characteristics.

**Ethical Considerations:** Nurses were informed that their participation was voluntary in the study. The purpose and the benefits of the study was explained by the researcher. After they agreed to participate in the study.

# V. Recommendation:

- 1. Developing the educational program about care of patient after craniotomy during academic educational program for nursing students.
- 2. Special and long continuing educational program should be established and applied for nurses who are working in neurosurgical ward concerning care of patient with craniotomy after discharge
- 3. Designating and distributing a booklet to all nurses, those who are working in neurosurgical ward about care of patient with craniotomy after discharge
- 4. Collaborate as a member of the multidisciplinary team in care of patient with craniotomy practice, education, and research

Author's Contributions: Study concept; Writing the original draft; Data collection; Data analysis and reviewing the final edition by all authors.

**Disclosure Statement:** The authors report no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements: My thanks are extended to all the nurses who participate in this study

#### **References:**

- 1. Huang, Y. H., Wu, Q. J., Chen, Y. L., Jiang, C. Z., Gong, T. T., Li, J., ... & Zhou, C. (2018). Trends in the prevalence of congenital hydrocephalus in 14 cities in Liaoning province, China from 2006 to 2015 in a population-based birth defect registry from the Liaoning Women and Children's Health Hospital. Oncotarget, 9(18), 14472.
- 2. Omrani, O., O'Connor, J., Hartley, J., & James, G. (2018). Effect of introduction of a standardised peri-operative protocol on CSF shunt infection rate: a single-centre cohort study of 809 procedures. Child's Nervous System, 34(12), 2407-2414.
- 3. Kalu, F. A., & Bwalya, J. C. (2017). What makes qualitative research good research? An exploratory analysis of critical elements. International Journal of Social Science Research, 5(2), 43-56.
- 4. Zhang, N., Chen, J., Ferraro, G. B., Wu, L., Datta, M., Jain, R. K., ... & Xu, L. (2018). Anti-VEGF treatment improves neurological function in tumors of the nervous system. Experimental Neurology, 299, 326-333.
- 5. Nora A, A., SS, A. R., SE, H., & AE, A. H. (2021). Assessment of Nurses Performance Regarding External Ventricular Drain among Children with Brain Tumor. Egyptian Journal of Health Care, 12(3), 945-955.
- 6. Sam, J., Lim, C., Sharda, P., & Wahab, N. (2018). The organisms and factors affecting outcomes of external ventricular drainage catheter-related ventriculitis: a penang experience. Asian journal of neurosurgery, 13(02), 250-257.
- 7. Mohammad, E. E. H. (2018). Intensive care unit nurses' performance regarding caring patients with head injury: an educational intervention. International Journal of Studies in Nursing, 3(3), 141.
- 8. Taha, A. (2012). Impact of a designed teaching protocol about advanced cardiac life support (ACLS) on critical care nurse's knowledge and practices at Benha University Hospital, Cairo, Egypt. Journal of American science, 8(10), 838-850.
- 9. Clement, I., Marwein, B., & Clement, N. (2019). Effectiveness of SIM on Care of Patient with Craniotomy among Staff Nurses Working in Neurological Units. International Journal of Medical Surgical Nursing, 2(1), 5-14.